

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,601	02/18/2004	Vidya Narayanan	. СМ06694Н	5141	
22917	7590 11/25/2005		EXA	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC.			NGUYEN, QUANG N		
1303 EAST A IL01/3RD	LGONQUIN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2141		

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/782,601	NARAYANAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 February 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	акент луршавий (ЕТО-194)				

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 10/31/2005. Claims

1, 11, and 23-24 have been amended. Claims 1-26 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 9-10 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsirtsis (US 2004/0148428 A1).
- 4. As to claims 1 and 25, Tsirtsis teaches a method and system for supporting Mobile IP management in a communications system, comprising:

receiving a first care-of-address for a first mobile node (when a mobile node visits a foreign network, its temporary local address or care of address is registered back with the home agent 550) (Tsirtsis, Fig. 5 and paragraphs [0004] and [0037]);

Art Unit: 2141

detecting an edge mobility agent having knowledge of said first care-of-address

(home agent 550 receives the message 513 containing the care of address registered

by the mobile node from the foreign agent 540) (Tsirtsis, paragraph [0037]);

determining, based upon at least one condition, that the edge mobility agent can

perform local routing of at least one diagram for said first mobile node without the at

least one datagram being tunneled through a mobility server (with addresses of directly

connected nodes to the access node 300 stored in its state information 310 as

illustrated in Fig. 3, its mobility agent module 302 can forward the decapsulated

diagram to the end mobile node 1 or the end mobile node X directly connected to

access node 300 via a wireless link, i.e., performs local routing the diagram for the

mobile node without the at least one datagram being tunneled through a mobility server)

(Tsirtsis, Fig. 3 and paragraphs [0027 - 0028]); and

instructing said edge mobility agent to perform local routing of at least one

datagram between said first mobile node and a second mobile node that has a second

care-of-address that is known to said edge mobility agent (since state information 310

contains both end node 1 and end node X with Home Address States 322 and 324,

indicating end nodes directly connected to access node 300 which can perform local

routing between end node 1 and end node X via a wireless link using the mobility agent

module 302) (Tsirtsis, paragraphs [0027 - 0028]).

5. As to claim 2, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said method is

implemented using standard mobile Internet Protocol (Mobile IPv4 and IPv6).

- 6. As to claim 3, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said first care-of-address is included in a registration request from said first mobile node (<u>end node X 162 registers the address associated with a foreign agent as a care of address with its home agent 130 in its home network 128) (Tsirtsis, Fig. 1 and paragraph [0021]).</u>
- 7. As to claim 4, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said edge mobility agent is instructed to perform local routing via a registration reply responsive to said registration request (i.e., detecting that the access node 300 includes the mobility agent module 302 that supports end node mobility and connectivity management services capable of providing node mobility, session establishment, and session maintenance services to connected end nodes, i.e., to perform local routing for end node 1 and end node X directly connected to the access node 300 with Home Address States 322 and 324 contained in its State Information 310) (Tsirtsis, paragraphs [0027-0028]).
- 8. As to claim 5, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said at least one condition includes at least one of detecting that said edge mobile agent is configured for performing local routing; and detecting a need for local routing for said first mobile node (i.e., detecting that the foreign agent 300 includes the mobility agent module 302 that supports end node mobility and connectivity management services capable of providing node mobility, session establishment, and session maintenance services to connected end nodes) (Tsirtsis, paragraphs [0027-0028]).

Application/Control Number: 10/782,601 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

9. Claim 9 contains similar limitations as method claim 1; therefore, it is rejected

under the same rationale.

10. As to claim 10, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said edge mobility

agent is one of a foreign agent, a mobile router and an edge router (access node 114 of

Fig. 1 serves as a Foreign Agent) (Tsirtsis, Fig. 1 and paragraph [0026]).

11. Claims 23-24 are corresponding method claims of method claim 1; therefore,

they are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tsirtsis, in view of Perkins et al. (Route Optimization in Mobile IP), hereafter

referred as Perkins.

14. As to claims 6-7, Tsirtsis teaches the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly teach detecting at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node; and notifying (communicating to) said edge mobility agent of said at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node.

In a related art, Perkins teaches a system and method for route optimization in Mobile IP, wherein a mobile node receives a new Care-of-Address when it roams to a new access point, it MAY send a Binding Warning message to its Home Agent (i.e., detecting at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node) requesting that the home agent send Binding Update messages to one or more correspondent nodes including the previous foreign agent for notification of the mobile node's current mobility binding (i.e., notifying said edge mobility agent of said at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node) (Perkins, Sections 4.1 and 4.3, pages 8-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tsirtsis and Perkins to include detecting at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node; and notifying (communicating to) said edge mobility agent of said at least one change in local routing for said first mobile node since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to notify the correspondent nodes of the new binding information so that they also can update their binding for the mobile node to allow datagram in flight to the mobile node's previous foreign agent to be forwarded to its new care-of-address.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,601

Art Unit: 2141

15. As to claim 8, Tsirtsis-Perkins teaches the method of claim 7, wherein said at

Page 7

least one change in local routing is based on a new first care-of-address for said first

mobile node (when the mobile node receives a new Care-of-Address, it MAY send a

Binding Warning message to its Home Agent) (Perkins, Section 4.1, page 8).

Claims 11-22 and 26 are corresponding method claims of method claims 1-16.

10 and 25; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

- 17. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that
- (A) Prior Arts fail to teach or suggest "determining, based upon at least one condition, that the edge mobility agent can perform local routing of at least one diagram for said first mobile node without the at least one datagram being tunneled through a mobility server" as claimed.

As to point (A), Tsirtsis teaches with addresses of directly connected end nodes to the access node 300 stored in its state information 310 as illustrated in Fig. 3, its mobility agent module 302 can forward the decapsulated diagram to the end mobile node 1 or end mobile node X directly connected to access node 300 via a wireless link Application/Control Number: 10/782,601

Art Unit: 2141

(i.e., performs local routing the diagram for the mobile node without the at least one

datagram being tunneled through a mobility server) (Tsirtsis, Fig. 3 and paragraphs

[0027 - 0028]).

(B) Prior Arts fail to teach or suggest "instructing said edge mobility agent to

perform local routing of at least one datagram between said first mobile node and a

second mobile node that has a second care-of-address that is known to said edge

mobility agent", as claimed.

As to point (B), Tsirtsis teaches the state information 310 of the access node 300

contains both end node 1 and end node X with Home Address States 322 and 324,

indicating end nodes 1 and X both directly connected to access node 300, therefore, the

mobility agent module 302 allows the access node 300 to support end node mobility

and connectivity management services capable of providing node mobility, session

establishment and session maintenance services to connected end nodes 1 and X (i.e.,

perform local routing between end node 1 and end node X via a wireless link using the

mobility agent module 302) (Tsirtsis, paragraphs [0027 - 0028]).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/782,601 Page 9

Art Unit: 2141

18. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 10/31/2005

have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

19. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,601

Art Unit: 2141

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VRUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 10